Susan Silber Linda S. Perlman Kenneth T. Sigman Metody A. Tilev' Kirstin Gulling, Of Counsel ' Also admitted in Virginia

SILBER, PERLMAN, SIGMAN & TILEV, P.A.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Practicing in Maryland and the District of Columbia

Silber@SP-Law.com

7000 Carroll Avenue, Suite 200 Takoma Park, Maryland 20912-4437 Tel: (301) 891-2200 Fax: (301) 891-2206 Web: www.SP-Law.com

October 19, 2007

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority Board of Directors Elizabeth M. Hewlett, Chair 600 Fifth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001

Mr. John B. Catoe, Jr. Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority 600 Fifth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001

Re: Docket Number R06-5

Dear Ms. Hewlett and Mr. Catoe:

I am writing on behalf of the City of Takoma Park in support of Mayor Kathy Porter's request that you review the City's alternative site plan for the Takoma Metro station. WMATA Associate General Counsel Edward Maginnis advised me that neither the WMATA Board nor WMATA staff can consider our site plan because it was not submitted within the deadline for the public to comment on the Staff Report. I find this assertion troubling for several reasons.

First, the enclosed site plan does not address or relate to the Staff Report in any way.

Second, I am unaware of a written policy establishing a specific period of time in which to respond to a Staff Report. Indeed, the Staff Report, which was not completed until more than ten months after the Compact Hearing, states that the public must submit responsive comments by September 25, 2007, and then, without explanation, the comment period was extended until October 5, 2007. The Board's Rules of Procedure do not establish a deadline for the submission of materials relating to an agenda item before the Board, and Rule IV.D clearly contemplates last minute additions to the materials provided to the Board.

Third, this proceeding does not involve a closed record. Neither the Board's Rules of Procedure nor the Compact prohibit ex parte contact with Board members regarding agenda items or require that the Board make decisions based solely on a defined record. The development of the station is an important legislative decision that should be based on all of the information available to the Board-not just the information submitted before an artificial deadline.

Accordingly, the Board clearly has the authority to consider the City's site plan prior to voting on the development of the Takoma station. I ask that you review and analyze the plan, which provides significant improvements over the EYA plan now before you.

Very truly yours,

Susan Silber City Attorney

City of Takoma Park, Maryland

cc: Carol B. O'Keefe

General Counsel

Washington Metropolitan Area

Transit Authority

F:\TAKOMA\WMATA\Board Meeting\Silber letter.wpd